

EN ES

the Defender™

CHILDREN'S HEALTH DEFENSE NEWS & VIEWS



CHD COVID BIG PHARMA BIG ENERGY BIG FOOD BIG TECH BIG CHEMICAL COMMUNITY LEGAL Q

BUY NOW: Ed Dowd's Must-Read Book — "Cause Unknown"

01/23/23 • COVID • NEWS

WSJ Slams Vaccine Makers, Federal Agencies for Pushing Boosters, as FDA Concedes Data Are 'Complicated'

The Wall Street Journal Sunday took vaccine makers and federal agencies to task for pushing the bivalent COVID-19 boosters without having any data to demonstrate that they are either safe or effective. Today, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration said it is eyeing changes to the booster program.

By The Defender Staff



Miss a day, miss a lot. [Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day. It's free.](#)

The Wall Street Journal (WSJ) Sunday took vaccine makers and federal agencies to task for pushing the bivalent COVID-19 boosters without having any data to demonstrate that they are either safe or effective.

In an [op-ed](#), [Allysia Finley](#), a WSJ editorial board member, said people shouldn't be surprised by the "deceptive advertising" touting the boosters on radio stations across the country, which is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

"Federal agencies took the unprecedented step of ordering vaccine makers to produce them and recommending them without data supporting their safety or efficacy," she wrote.

Today, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) posted a [briefing document](#) indicating it wants to change the COVID-19 vaccine protocols by simplifying the composition of the vaccines, the immunization schedule and decisions about how the vaccines are updated.

The FDA's Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee (VRBPAC) is scheduled to meet on Thursday to discuss the future of COVID-19 vaccine regimens, and will be asked to vote on whether they recommend parts of the FDA's plan.

Finley: 3 problems with 'tweaking' mRNA vaccines

According to Finley, the idea behind the mRNA technology was that vaccine makers could quickly "tweak" the genetic sequences in the vaccines to target new variants. The bivalent vaccines targeted a combination of the original Wuhan strain and the BA.4 and BA.5 Omicron variants.

But, she wrote, three major scientific problems have emerged. First, the virus evolves faster than the

Donate Now

You make the difference.



Latest News



FDA Advisers Vote to Replace Original COVID Vaccine With Bivalent Boosters Despite Lack of Clinical Trial Data



Top FDA Safety Official Resigns Over Concerns About Infant Formula Shortage



How Neonicotinoid Insecticides Adversely Affect Nervous System Health

[MORE NEWS](#)

Latest Views



Bill Gates — After Reaping Huge Profits Selling BioTech Shares — Trashes Effectiveness of COVID Vaccines



CDC to Implement International Classification of Disease Codes for COVID Vaccine Status



How Aluminum Damages Your Brain

[MORE VIEWS](#)

Get FREE News & Updates!

First Name..

Last Name..

Email..

SIGN UP

vaccines can be updated.

Second, the vaccines have “hard-wired” people’s immune systems against the original strain, so they “churn out fewer antibodies that neutralize variants targeted by updated vaccines.”

Third, the antibodies that are produced wane quickly — within just a few months.

Finley outlined several recent studies as evidence, beginning with [two studies in the New England Journal of Medicine](#) (NEJM) showing that bivalent boosters did not produce significantly more neutralizing antibodies against the BA.4 and BA.5 variants than the original boosters.

The authors hypothesized that [immune imprinting](#) “may pose a greater challenge than is currently appreciated for inducing robust immunity against SARS-CoV-2 variants,” Finley explained.

In other words, a person’s first exposure to a virus shapes the outcome of subsequent exposures to antigenically related strains.

That means people who received the original vaccine were “primed” to respond to the Wuhan strain and “mounted an inferior antibody response to other variants,” as University of Pennsylvania’s [Dr. Paul Offit explained in a NEJM article](#).

The findings contradict press releases from [Pfizer](#) and [Moderna](#) claiming the bivalent vaccines produced a response to the Omicron variants that was 4 to 6 times better than that of the original boosters.

Neither vaccine maker conducted randomized trials. Instead, “the vaccine makers designed their studies to get the results they wanted,” Finley said.

They did this by testing the original and bivalent boosters at different times during the Omicron surges and by testing for antibodies at different time intervals for the two boosters, which allowed them to select for data that backed their claims.

Despite the flawed studies, “public-health authorities didn’t raise an eyebrow,” Finley said, “but why would they? They have a vested interest in promoting the bivalents.”

In June 2022, the FDA told the vaccine makers to update the boosters against BA.4 and BA.5. They then [rushed to authorize](#) the [bivalent boosters](#) before clinical data were available, as The Defender reported.

BUY NOW: Ed Dowd’s Must-Read Book — “Cause Unknown”

CDC recommended bivalent boosters without clinical trial data

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) also recommended the bivalents without any clinical trials.

Vaccine makers could have performed small randomized trials by the end of last September, but instead, public health officials rushed the vaccines, according to Finley.

“And now we know why,” she wrote.

In November, the [CDC published a study](#) finding that at peak efficacy — which waned quickly — the bivalents were only 22% to 43% effective against infection.

Another CDC study in December reported the bivalent booster showed [high efficacy against hospitalization among boosted seniors](#) relative to seniors who were unvaccinated or had the original booster.

But neither study controlled for confounding factors. And many experts, Finley said, “after zealously promoting the bivalents,” have criticized the studies.

Even the FDA seems to be backpedaling on its claims, she said.

“There’s a growing consensus that we need better vaccines and treatments to protect those still at risk. But we also need honest public-health leaders,” Finley concluded.

This wasn’t the first time Finley wrote an op-ed critical of the COVID-19 vaccines. In July 2022, she questioned the rush to approve the [shots for toddlers](#). And earlier this month, she detailed evidence suggesting the [COVID-19 vaccines may be fueling new variants](#).

FDA admits data on boosters ‘complicated,’ but plans to recommend yearly boosters

According to the FDA, only approximately 16% of eligible Americans over the age of 5 have received the bivalent booster.

Get Text Alerts

Sign up for breaking news from The Defender.

Currently only eligible for numbers in the U.S. and Canada.

1234567890

Phone

REQUIRED. Phone number must be in a standard 10-digit format: 1234567890 or 123-456-7890

CONTINUE

The agency's new [briefing document](#) said there are now "multiple studies describing neutralizing antibody responses" to the bivalent boosters.

Conceding many of the points Finley made, the agency said:

"Interpreting the data from these studies is complicated because of the limited sample size, the variability in the assays used and the status of assay qualification, the populations tested, and the intervals between vaccination and serum collection."

It added, "Their [the boosters] deployment has been associated with significant implementation complexities."

Despite this, the FDA concluded, "Both of the bivalent mRNA vaccines have been demonstrated to produce improved neutralizing antibody responses to the BA.5, BQ.1.1, and XBB variants as compared to the original vaccines."

Yet, the report urged a shift in vaccine composition and delivery to "reduce complexity" in the process.

The new plan will recommend one yearly booster shot, although it recommends two doses of the shot for very young children and the elderly.

The FDA said it expects to assess the evolution of COVID-19 annually to determine which strains to vaccinate for, a process they likened to the one followed for the flu vaccine.

Experts, including [Dr. Vinay Prasad](#), have already raised concerns about this approach, arguing that the vaccines will "always be chasing the last variant."

[Dr. Céline Gounder](#), a senior fellow at the Kaiser Family Foundation, today [told NPR](#), "It's unclear whether updating the booster formulations and repeatedly boosting people is the most effective approach to controlling COVID at this stage."

"I'd like to see some data on the effect of dosing interval, at least observational data," said [Dr. Eric Rubin](#), one of the FDA advisers and editor-in-chief of the NEJM [told The New York Times](#). "And going forward, I'd like to see data collected to try to tell if we're doing the right thing."

Despite conceding the failures of existing data, the documents don't address the question of new randomized trials, existing and widespread concerns about [vaccine side effects](#) or the fact that the vaccines do not stop the spread of disease.

CHD posted a [call-to-action](#) for the public to submit comments to the VRBPAC prior to Thursday's meeting.

[Dr. Meryl Nass](#), a member of CHD's scientific advisory committee, will live blog the Thursday meeting [here](#).

Subscribe to The Defender – It's Free!

SUGGEST A CORRECTION



The Defender Staff

The Defender is the news and views website for Children's Health Defense. Our mission is to end corruption to save democracy. Our content exposes the corporations, elected officials, government agencies and individuals who put profits before people and planet.

Sign up for free news and updates from Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. and the Children's Health Defense. CHD is planning many strategies, including legal, in an effort to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those already injured. Your [support](#) is essential to CHD's successful mission.

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy

We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed. Please read our [Comment Policy](#) before commenting.

72 Comments

Login



Join the discussion...

LOG IN WITH

OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS



Name

Share

Best Newest Oldest



Duties

6 days ago

The vax makers and politicians want even infants to get the jab, even though they are at virtually zero risk from the "virus" and there is compelling data demonstrating that the vaccines are dangerous. Think about that for a moment . . . what kind of adult would not just approve of, but push the poison jab for innocent children? The same people fighting tooth and nail to abort babies up to and beyond birth.

34 0 Reply Share



Siglund

6 days ago

From a story today at Independent Sentinel about the death of a 6-month old baby who was injected with the following:

In addition to the Pfizer coronavirus vaccine, the baby received the following on September 16, 2022, and died on the 26th:

Pediarix (DTAP + HEPB + IPV) from GlaxoSmithKline

FluLaval Seasonal Quadrivalent Influenza from GlaxoSmithKline

PREVNAR13 from Pfizer/Wyeth

RotaTeq (Rotavirus) from Merck (also mRNA)

You just know that every one of these shots is loaded with adjuvants, and it's said the "covid" bioweapon shot is loaded with graphene. We just now learn about "RotaTeq" being an mRNA shot?! What's FDA approval status on that monstrosity?

I keep reading more and more stories of very young children, six months to two years old, being killed by this crap. A 2 y.o. boy in our own community was killed last November. In for 2-year checkup and shots; died in his sleep even though he was perfectly healthy.

I want really bad things to happen to very wicked and evil people. I'm not interested in waiting for justice, at least not from this useless government...

23 0 Reply Share



kimmie taylor

5 days ago

So tragic! I wonder what these parents think after their child die? Where is the outrage? Where are the protests? Will these parents write to the Congressmen? Report to the news channels? Get autopsy done for their child from independent sources? Someone needs to be accountable for these atrocities.

11 0 Reply Share



Siglund

5 days ago

There must be a system in place to suppress it all. That Mr. Rodriguez who lost his son, stated that FEMA (What? FEMA?) contacted him to pay for his son's funeral in exchange for his silence. (He refused.)

I think the life insurance companies (who are taking a beating right now) are looking for any opportunity to refuse payment. What better way than to take a family's public statement blaming the shot and say, "Look, you admitted your loved one died from an experimental medicine! We can't pay out for that! (Or did your 6-month old die of "crib-death", your 2-year-old of SIDS, your 16-year-old of "commotio cordis"?)

Meanwhile the medical conglomerates will not admit to fault; neither will the lamestream media who drowned us in "safe and effective" propaganda and demonized "hesitancy".

Each of us must continue sharing our own knowledge. For me, one middle-aged cousin was killed in a hospital with Remdesivir and a "vent", and another died a few days after the second shot.

In 1984, we laughed about how grim the book "1984" was, because the 1980's were an amazing time to be alive.

Nobody's laughing about it now. We just happened to get a 40-year extension...

11 0 Reply Share



FattyWink

5 days ago

Honestly, I think the last thing that most parents wrecked with the grief of losing a child want to admit to is that their ignorance caused it to happen.

It is far too much to bear and lump on to the grief they're already dealing with, so they will blame anything else and reassure themselves that it was a tragic accident, a "sudden" death that wasn't preventable. And to this end, defend their decision and the vaccines, for to admit the truth would be to admit their guilt/role in the tragedy.

👍 2 🗨️ 0 • Reply • Share ›



Fain Zimmerman FattyWink
🕒 4 days ago

It's mainly the doctors - who are NOT ignorant - that are the problems! The parents trust the docs to know what is best. Big mistake. But they are innocent. Our granddaughter was fooled this way. Her doc recommended multiple shots for her 1 year old. The previously healthy baby became autistic. He survived but was damaged.

👍 2 🗨️ 0 • Reply • Share ›



FattyWink Fain Zimmerman
🕒 4 days ago

I will say that you'd be surprised how many doctors ARE ignorant. Ignorance isn't about the knowledge you possess, it's about choosing whether or not to think for yourself and use your critical thinking skills versus allowing your pride and ego to influence your towing the line.

👍 2 🗨️ 0 • Reply • Share ›



Pamela Evans Gerry kimmie taylor
🕒 5 days ago

No one will be held accountable; evil is running this world system.

👍 7 🗨️ 0 • Reply • Share ›



Kim from Brooklyn kimmie taylor
🕒 5 days ago

Just in my circle of personal and some superficial relationships I have, I am unable to talk about anything of significance. When I get an opening, I am quickly quieted, by the silence.

People are now seeing the reality and so many walk with blinders - until they are ripped off.

If you listen closely, you can hear the tears and rips all around. It is a slow peel.

👍 5 🗨️ 0 • Reply • Share ›



Beatrice Kim from Brooklyn
🕒 5 days ago

And you will also hear the many sirens. I know how you feel Kim and I despise being around people who are so weak and cowardly that they fear facing the truth. These people are a danger to humanity. Stay strong and try to surround yourself with people who live in the real world.

👍 4 🗨️ 0 • Reply • Share ›



Kim from Brooklyn Beatrice
🕒 4 days ago

Amen to that!

👍 1 🗨️ 0 • Reply • Share ›



Sue'82 Metal Maniac Siglund
🕒 5 days ago

It scares me how much hate I have for the people responsible for the worst crimes against humanity EVER. My own firstborn son was given the so called vaxx in the Army, exactly 1 month AFTER the Pentagon publicly announced that it couldn't & wouldn't mandate an EUA only "vaxx".

He didn't really understand it was anything different than the other injections or actual vaccines they give you when you enlist. He felt like he's in the prime of his life and very strong, his body can take it. Plus when your CO tells you, you're taking something, you take it.

Your opinion is NOT allowed nor is dissent. You do what they tell you and keep your mouth shut.

They violated the Nuremberg Code, informed consent? Yeah right 🤔

He was working on ranking up to Sergeant at the time & was very busy so we hadn't really talked in awhile.

I know they lie, I just didn't think it would be THAT fast. He was planning on making it a career at that point as well. I hadn't warned him, but I honestly don't know that it would have made any difference. By the time I did, it was too late. After a year under the current regime, he decided he would finish his 4 yr contract and take his honorable discharge so he is home now.

[see more](#)

👍 7 🗨️ 0 • Reply • Share ›



Fain Zimmerman Sue'82 Metal Maniac
🕒 4 days ago


Dr. David Martin is trying to do just that! Bring them ALL in and hold them responsible!

👍 2 🗨️ 0 • Reply • Share ›

B **Beatrice** → Sue'82 Metal Maniac
a day ago


I've had part time employees that were in the military and they all tell me how they hate the vaccine mandates and how many got very sick(especially from the Anthrax vax). My grandfather was a VIP General in the army and he died because they told him ' turn his back' to the nuclear bomb tests. I don't want to insult our military service men and women but I feel the same way you do about those at the top who make all the decisions. That's why I like Tulsi Gabbard. She knows what the soldiers suffer and hates our leadership putting them at unnecessary risk.

0 0 • Reply • Share

DLT88 
6 days ago



Those shots are not even boosters as the original shot is NOT a vaccine. They are all the same shot over and over again to keep the immune system low so their nano-crud can keep getting through the blood-brain barrier. What is going on is a very dark evil -- do not believe one word of the MSM's claims. The virus is a hoax. People got sick from the bioweapon erroneously named fiiveegee. No virus was ever detected AND, when examined, the stuff in those needles are NOT vaccines.

25 0 • Reply • Share

chris 
5 days ago

you can question the entire proof of the corona virus, as a very complex protein/RNA/lipids object, but you cannot question the presence of synthetic genetic sequences in every biotech lab which encode zillions of different proteins, enzymes, RNA/DNA switches, etc. What you got in every Pfizer/Mod-E-RNA covid injection are those now PATENTED sequences, which have the capability of 'joining' the human genome. That's the entire point of the covid crime, genetic modifications of the entire HUMANITY. That's a pure satanic work, which should be called at least gene therapy, and NOT 'vaccine', alone to warn the uneducated.

10 0 • Reply • Share

 **WE NEED FRAUD ARRESTS!** 
a day ago


almost all true.. except it wasn't "every" injection.
It was an experiment.. Each batch had different ingredients and many were saline solutions
Some batches caused high death rates... some batches caused heart failures. some had metals in them
Some were just saline.
nobody really knows what was in any of them.. that hides the accountability, muddies all the data, allows for researchers to be labelled "conspiracy theorists"
Weapons of Mass Confusion.

0 0 • Reply • Share

A **annemat**
6 days ago edited

The vaccinators are rabid and have convinced themselves vaccines are so benign that they will inflict experimental injections on children with no evidence of safety to their longterm health. Throughout my life I was convinced vaccines were based on sound well researched science only to find out it is built on a precarious house of cards. There is no coming back from this experimentation on innocent children. Truly disgusting.

24 0 • Reply • Share

AR **Andrew Rivett** 
5 days ago


It took the pandemic to open my eyes. That and 9/11. I too received annual influenza vaccines and I was easily led to believe in the public health narrative.

If there is a silver lining in this cloud, it is that we have awakened a large section of the population to some very unpleasant truths. There will always be a population that supports the official narrative.

It is getting harder to fool all of the people even most of the time. As never before, the liars are protesting and shouting "disinformation! misinformation! conspiracy theorists!"



When that happens we know we've severed a major artery and the MSM is bleeding out.

10 0 • Reply • Share

DC **Diane Chase** 
6 days ago

Another crack in the wall! Kudos to the WSJ, I may just subscribe since they are one of the few mass media outlets willing to bite the hand that feeds them.

19 0 • Reply • Share

 **Siglund** 
5 days ago

Save your money.

WSJ is putatively concerned with investment news.

If you haven't read Dowd's book "Cause Unknown", it's worth picking up. Dowd points out the all-cause mortality trends in the US and elsewhere, and he IS an investment expert who has just been following the trends.

They can only hide this for so long...

7 0 • Reply • Share



Linda N Siglund
5 days ago

"They can only hide this for so long" Yes, but they don't care because by May they will have the vote in that the WHO will be in control of all pandemic responses, as well as being the only entity to declare a pandemic, and all countries will be obliged to vaccinate all citizens with any vaccine (gag gag) that the WHO demands. All other pandemic responses will be dictated by the WHO as well. And we all know who controls the WHO. Bill Gates and Big Pharma.

3 likes 0 replies · Reply · Share



Siglund Diane Chase
6 days ago edited

The truth is oozing out of the woodwork all around us, and it will continue to increase.

Our new global masters are not too concerned though. They are hollowing out the US economy via MASSIVE wealth transfers to Ukraine and to our new migrant "citizens", and by printing trillions in cash, inflating the currency until we won't need to buy TP anymore.

Once it's all collapsed, we'll be offered "credit" cards with CDBD "credits" on them.

You can use them if you comply.

The only question is whether they'll continue to lie about "covid", or if they'll just admit the truth that it's a monitoring and control mechanism, and you'll have to play to pay or be paid...

6 likes 0 replies · Reply · Share



Pamela Evans Gerry Siglund
5 days ago

As collapse impends, we are also offered entrance into another government system; the Kingdom of our Creator, which exists all around us; it is self-evident, and is the foundation of Natural Law.



0 likes 0 replies · Reply · Share



Siglund
6 days ago

The "data are complicated"?

Right... I bet the Pig Pharma payments are even more complicated.

Criminals.

17 likes 0 replies · Reply · Share



MultiChubby1 Siglund
5 days ago

A corpse is not complicated.

2 likes 0 replies · Reply · Share



A. Louis Rossi
6 days ago

This is the Wall Street Journal. What has taken them so long? I think they are finally doing this because the ever increasing flow of information about what has happened over the past two years is beginning to threaten the pharmaceutical industry. WSJ are preparing the ground for protecting Pharma from attack by blaming the government agencies (i.e., for "interfering" or "forcing them" to do it). Pharma owns these agencies. The blame should be laid squarely on them (as well).

14 likes 0 replies · Reply · Share



elway0123456789 A. Louis Rossi
6 days ago

Truer words have never been spoken. Pharma backfills the alphabet agencies with its own 'former' employees, executives, and directors.

9 likes 0 replies · Reply · Share



mcwinaz
6 days ago

WSJ is a 'shill' rag and is not worth even lining your birdcage with. Why suddenly do they care to write such an OpEd now? Yet another MSM outlet trying to save face and act like they are only NOW realizing the inconsistencies and wrongdoing of the last 3 years that most smart people realized 2.5 years ago. Too little, too late, WSJ..

12 likes 0 replies · Reply · Share



Clare Goldsberry

6 days ago

I'm not sure what the "boosters" are supposed to "boost" given that whatever slight immunity they may provide is found to wane within a few weeks. Remember back more than a year ago when it was projected that people would need a "booster" every month just to keep their immune systems slightly active? Well, here it is. It's not a "vaccine" and these are not "boosters" -- its just more of an illegal experiment on humans, many of who were forced to participate in this experimental EUA which is illegal to mandate under Federal Law. Look it up! Print it out, as I did and keep it handy to send to friends, relatives and acquaintances.

12 likes, 0 replies, 0 shares



Sue82 Metal Maniac → Clare Goldsberry

5 days ago

It sure is illegal, plus they've violated the Nuremberg code, informed consent? Yeah right 😞👤

1 like, 0 replies, 0 shares



Jamie Murphy

6 days ago

You are dealing with criminals. Vaccines cause cancer; they never prevent it. Profound ignorance.

12 likes, 0 replies, 0 shares



Leslie

5 days ago

I'm glad the Wall Street journal published this article but the forth thing about the boosters is not mentioned in it. The reason the immunity wears off so soon is the effect it has on the immune system. The boosters dumb down the immune system every time they are given (aprox 14%) leaving the person more vulnerable to every infections and viruses.

I have seen multiple people get a bad case of shingles within a few weeks of receiving the boosters. I actually told a coworker don't take this latest one you've already had too many shots they took it and are still fighting shingles now.

I think they are afraid of this virus so much they won't go without the shot. At this point I think the shots will kill them before Covid. Fear is ruining their lives.

8 likes, 0 replies, 0 shares



Andrew Rivett → Leslie

5 days ago

We cannot save all of those who are gripped with fear. We CAN demand that our individual free will be respected.

If I am not interfering with you then you have absolutely no claim to restrict my freedom.

The bar for "the greater good" must be placed very, very high. After the last 3 years I would say forget about the greater good because it clearly only exists in the minds of tyrants. If I'm sick, I'll stay away from you.

If you are afraid, stay away from everyone else.

Life is dangerous. Life is for the brave. If you are afraid, stay home. Order your food online. You have options. Don't limit my options!

5 likes, 0 replies, 0 shares



Deborah M. Damascus

6 days ago

Somebody tell Ms Finley. I'm 68. Pre diabetic. Unvaxed and used the FLCCC protocol sbd was fine. No risk if myocarditis, stroke, heart attack or sudden death. Thanks but no thanks to any experimental substance. Did just find without it. If I can, so can everyone else. .

7 likes, 0 replies, 0 shares



Lakwaz → Deborah M. Damascus

5 days ago

I have asthma. We were all told in the beginning that using the breathing treatments and inhalers wouldn't help if you got c19. I had c19 in March 2020. Treated the "mysterious illness" I had like it was pneumonia. Came close to going to the hospital, but woke up the next day (day 4) feeling 90% better. Didn't realize I'd had c19 until 3 months later. I have steered clear of the shots (natural immunity rules!!!) and I'm just fine.....

4 likes, 0 replies, 0 shares



lakewoodsteve → Deborah M. Damascus

5 days ago

In Godless CA. if you are a doctor, not worshiping at the alter of Big fraudulent Pharma/ advocating FLCCC protocol, you would be de-licensed! i.e. reminiscent of Dr. Ignaz Semmelweis, best known as the first physician in medical history who demonstrated that puerperal fever (also known as "childbed fever") was contagious and that its incidence could be drastically reduced by enforcing appropriate hand washing by medical care-givers." His documented crazy ass "disinformation" got him run out out of medicine! i.e. just like to day! I.E. "Nothing new under the sun, stuff! God help us!

3 likes, 0 replies, 0 shares



bluesriot

6 days ago

too little too late from the wsj , and always the same garbage at the end about possible benefits outweighing risks , thereby adding to the confusion that folks who still get all their news from msm face

7 likes, 0 replies, 0 shares



vgupta123

6 days ago

Why is the Wall Street Journal breaking ranks with the mainstream media and mainstream booster narrative? Is it a hopeful sign that other media will follow? Or is it just a distraction?

👍 5 🗨️ 0 • Reply • Share



MultiChubby1 v. [vgupta123](#)

🕒 5 days ago

No. They got a memo from Gates. No disrespect intended, but if you actually thought that any of these scum have 'broken ranks' !.....

👍 1 🗨️ 0 • Reply • Share



Joanne

🕒 5 days ago

The elephant in the room died suddenly... cause unknown...

👍 3 🗨️ 0 • Reply • Share



simajima

🕒 5 days ago

WSJ worked real hard to get Trump defeated. I dropped my subscription day after election. Now they got Biden, I hope they are happy.

👍 3 🗨️ 0 • Reply • Share



Ernesto Kupersmid

🕒 6 days ago edited

More of what we know: with little (or no) data, complicated to interpret, they still feel confident (and safe in their positions) to keep going around recommending the injections instead of safer alternative treatments and prevention -supplements and vitamins, and keep the injections for those who might somehow benefit from them ... if they give informed consent to taking it.

Mr Steven Kirsch recently posted this video on his substack, it is about doctors in the UK speaking against the injections, some 18mins

<https://stevekirsch.substack.com/>

<https://doctorsforpatientsu.com/>

There was also a recent interview from Mr Kirsch with RFK Jr, and I think during that interview there was a reference to a law where the government can continue a vaccination campaign even if it is not safe. Mr Kirsch also wrote about it in his substack, and you may download the document yourselves, check p.255

<https://stevekirsch.substack.com/>

Sorry for the long post. I am not promoting anyone, just sharing info. Stay well and safe

👍 3 🗨️ 0 • Reply • Share



TR M

🕒 6 days ago

Watch out for the "Controlling the 'Mark' after a Con Trick" part of the scam next! WSJ is part of it.

<https://nakedemperor.substack.com/>

Yep. We are now at the stage where they try to "Control the mark" (not us refuseniks). Great article by Ivor Cummins.

👍 3 🗨️ 0 • Reply • Share



Robert Sagramati

🕒 5 days ago

Simply by the FACT that on Mass media you don't see and hear and they never show all the adverse and side effects of the Jabs, the injured people, all the healthy and athletic under 30 dying suddenly "for no apparent reason" and last, ALL the miscarriages that exploded in the last 2 years, is enough to PROVE that there is something definitely wrong with this Pseudo Pandemic farse and they keep on lying to all!!

👍 2 🗨️ 0 • Reply • Share



BH387

🕒 5 days ago edited

First we find "The Science" means propaganda, now "complicated" means utterly damning. Getting hard to keep up with all these new Huxwellian definitions.

👍 2 🗨️ 0 • Reply • Share



Lakwaz

🕒 5 days ago

The data is "complicated"?!?! Baloney!!! They just never want to admit their garbage shots don't work and are hurting and killing people!!!

👍 2 🗨️ 0 • Reply • Share



Pamela Evans Gerry

🕒 5 days ago

The Great Retreat begins.....

Too little; too late.

👍 2 🗨️ 0 • Reply • Share



Protonius

🕒 5 days ago edited

IMO:

This WSJ article -- although it appears to look like "a trickle of clear water seeping through the cracks in the narrative" -- is a RESTRAINED & EXCEEDINGLY MILD "CRITIQUE" that -- IMO -- is possibly designed to say to the masses "Look! Even the WSJ is HOLDING THEIR FEET TO THE FIRE!" -- but it clearly doesn't deal with the DEEPER issues:

.....

.....
--- (a) it DOESN'T VENTURE INTO the documented alleged corruption of the alleged RESEARCH & of the alleged corruption of the "TRIALS" process,
--- (b) it DOESN'T VENTURE INTO the alleged corruption (and PROFITABILITY) of the APPROVALS-process -- for example, one member of the FDA Advisory Committee is ON VIDEO-RECORD as saying that a good way to see if the V@x is safe for children is to APPROVE IT FOR PUBLIC USE ON CHILDREN AND TO THEN SEE THE CHILDREN'S REACTION TO IT (= my paraphrase),
--- (c) NOR does this article even MENTION the issue of the FUNDING -- BY THE PHARMA COMPANIES THEMSELVES -- OF THESE 'REVIEWS' BY THE FDA OF THE PHARMA COMPANIES' PRODUCTS (such as of the C19 V@x),
--- (d) and this article doesn't EVEN MENTION the EXTENT -- OR THE HORRIBLENESS -- OF THE INJURIES &

[see more](#)

👍 2 🗨️ 0 · Reply · Share ›



Andrew Rivett Protonius

🕒 5 days ago

It doesn't address the most important issue and that is the right of the sovereign individual to refuse medical intervention without coercion.

All was not well for me from the start but when the government forces people to choose between their jobs and receiving a medical treatment.....everybody should shout "NO!"

I've done some foolish things over the years but they were MY choices.

Take away my freedom to choose and you might just as well take my life. That is how strongly I feel about this issue.

👍 4 🗨️ 0 · Reply · Share ›

[Load more comments](#)

Subscribe Privacy Do Not Sell My Data



Children's Health Defense® is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization. Its mission is to end childhood health epidemics by working aggressively to eliminate harmful exposures, hold those responsible accountable, and to establish safeguards to prevent future harm.

[EN](#) [ES](#)

[SUBSCRIBE - IT'S FREE!](#)

[BECOME A MEMBER](#)

[MEMBER LOGIN](#)



Donations are tax deductible to the full extent of the law. EIN #26-0388604

[Children's Health Defense](#) · [Legal Disclaimer](#) · [Terms of Use](#) · [Privacy Policy](#) · [Donate](#) · [Work for CHD](#) · [Contact](#) · [Social](#) · [Sitemap](#)

© 2016 - 2023 Children's Health Defense® • All Rights Reserved