



HOME ABOUT HEALTH GOVERNMENT SOCIETY WORLD TYRANNY COMMENTARY ENVIRONMENT MEDIA FINANCIAL MILITARY

NEWS TICKER > [March 29, 2023] Europe Abandons All-Electric Car Mandate - ENVIRONMENT [March 29, 2023] Autopsy Studies of COVID-19 Illness Rule Out Extensive Myocarditis - ANALYSIS

SEARCH ...

LATEST NEWS & ARTICLES

Autopsy Studies of COVID-19 Illness Rule Out Extensive Myocarditis
March 29, 2023 0

The Arrests More or Less Real of Khan, Trump and Putin: The Panic of the Deep State
March 29, 2023 0

France announces massive police mobilization
March 29, 2023 2

Ukraine's Kill List Site Adds Another Truth-teller to Its List of Targets
March 28, 2023 0

Europe Abandons All-Electric Car Mandate
March 28, 2023 1

Hungary Approves Finland's NATO Membership, While Sweden Sidelined
March 28, 2023 0

Russian tactical nuclear weapons in Belarus - escalation or legitimate response?
March 28, 2023 0

EU's Covid Committee Report is Just a Coverup - MEP Cristian Terhes
March 27, 2023 1

FOIA doc shows US gov't anticipated mass vaccine injuries, then observed them from day one
March 27, 2023 0

Nazi Roots of EU's Führer Ursula von der Leyen [VIDEO]
March 27, 2023 0

Home > ENVIRONMENT > Europe Abandons All-Electric Car Mandate

Europe Abandons All-Electric Car Mandate

March 28, 2023 Pam Barker ENVIRONMENT, GOVERNMENT 1



Europe Abandons All-Electric Car Mandate

Stupidity of "switch to electric" while killing power generation

IGOR CHUDOV

France24 and the Wall Street Journal (paywall-free link) report that the EU has abandoned its much-ballyhooped transition to electric cars, which was supposed to culminate with a total ban on gasoline cars in 2035.

WSJ OPINION
OPINION REVIEW & OUTLOOK
Europe Backtracks on Its Gas-Car Ban
Will California and other progressive states now recognize reality?
By The Editorial Board
March 27, 2023 6:31 pm ET

The EU's reversal allows "the sales of new cars with combustion engines that run on synthetic fuels," which sounds very environmentally friendly. But synthetic fuels are similar to gasoline or diesel, so the decision allows internal combustion cars to continue being produced.

This transition was announced with a lot of pomp:


HELP TO SUPPORT TLB PROJECT

DONATE
Safe & Secure VISA MASTERCARD DISCOVER

FOLLOW ON FACEBOOK

There is some 'PRIDE' in All of Us!
SOCIAL MEDIA FOR THE FREE
TALK
Join our community @
TLBTALK.COM

CLICK TO VISIT
RBN
REPUBLIC BROADCASTING NETWORK
Real News .. Real Talk .. Real People,
BECAUSE YOU CAN HANDLE THE TRUTH



The transition was supposed to go on for 13 years after its announcement in 2022 but was abandoned only a year after its adoption. What happened?

Prodded by climate activists, the EU was pressured to ban fossil fuel vehicles and replace them with battery-powered vehicles. The problem is that **such a transition is impossible:**

- Transitioning to electric passenger vehicles will **increase electricity demand by 25%**.
- Transitioning to electric trucks will further raise electricity demand to a **total of 40% increase**.
- EU is phasing out fossil fuel generation and replacing it with **unreliable** solar and wind generation – **thus decreasing power availability** instead of *increasing* it to meet greater demand.
- **As cars and especially trucks are charged at night, solar and wind power cannot contribute to charging.**

Are electric cars more efficient?

Running a gasoline car involves:

- Burning gas in the internal combustion engine and converting *thermal energy to mechanical energy*. That's it.

Charging an electric car's battery from the grid and driving the car involves:

- Burning gas at the power station and converting *thermal energy of gas to mechanical energy* of the gas turbine. This is **only moderately more efficient** in a power station than gasoline cars.
- **Then, losses begin:**
- Converting the mechanical energy of the turbine into electrical energy in the generator involves *generator losses*
- Converting medium voltage from the generator into high transmission voltage involves *transformer losses*
- Transmitting the power along the high voltage lines involves *transmission losses*
- Stepping down the voltage in several substations involves *transformer losses* again
- In a home charging station, converting 220v power into DC for car charging again involves *conversion losses*
- A chemical process in the battery being charged heats the battery, involving *charging losses*
- Running the car's electrical motors from the battery requires *inverter losses* to generate electricity for traction motors and *motor losses*.

Take a look at what happens when a driver needs heat in the cab:

- Heating a gasoline car in winter involves redirecting *waste heat* (hot antifreeze) from the engine into the cab heater, thus not requiring additional fuel.
- Heating an electric car requires a **resistance heater or a heat pump**, needing to eventually consume more energy from the grid – with all the above conversion losses included.

Which process (gasoline car vs. electric) is more efficient at converting fuel, burnt directly in the car engine or at distant power stations, into usable energy to propel a car traveling on a highway? The gas engines win outright.

The situation would be different if we had a clean, weather-independent, and inexpensive electrical power source. But, alas, we do not have that yet.

Last December, egyptius wrote a nice post about **Switzerland banning electric cars due to a lack of electricity to charge them**.

- **Switzerland, Facing an Unprecedented Power Shortage, Contemplates a Partial Ban on the Use of Electric Vehicles**

The fact that a pompously announced *thirteen-year* "electric car transition" was canceled *only one year* after it was adopted strongly suggests that the original idea was untenably stupid.

The Stupidity of the "CO2 Transition"

As I mentioned above, a 13-year policy canceled in its second year surely is stupid, almost by definition. However, the EU is not alone. California and New York, the bastions of virtue-signaling climate activism, are still going full speed ahead, banning gasoline cars while phasing out fossil fuel generation and doing nothing for nuclear power.

This so-called transition will make much money for the movers and shakers but is technologically unfeasible due to the lack of cheap, carbon-neutral baseload energy (baseload means not depending on weather).

The best outcome would be to see such plans canceled under the pretense of "unforeseen circumstances," like it just happened in the EU.

The worse outcome would be our collective inability to have enough energy to heat our homes and drive cars. That would necessitate living in cramped "15-minute cities" that are being proposed everywhere.

- [The WEF Wants Your House to Be Worth ZERO, to Achieve "Net Zero" Carbon](#)

We Are Responsible For Our Planet

I want to share a thought that many people may disagree with. Feel free to share your thoughts in the comments.

- Social experiments like banning gasoline cars and simultaneously killing reliable power generation are dangerous; most readers of this substack would agree.
- Geoengineering experiments such as [darkening the skies by spewing millions of tons of sulfur dioxide](#) are dangerous. Most readers of this substack would agree with that as well.
- But [emitting billions of tons of CO2 into the atmosphere yearly is also a potentially dangerous geoengineering experiment.](#)

The climate change field is full of crooks and is directed by those who recently gave us a non-working and dangerous Covid vaccine.

I do not believe them or their paid scientists any more than I believe the dishonest "Covid science."

However, even though I do not believe those people, I have a concern and a feeling of responsibility for our planet.

We only have one planet. So we better be careful with it.

Somehow or other, **honest humans need to band together and reach a better understanding of climate and the Earth.**

At the same time, if a fraction of the billions of dollars wasted on electric cars and climate grandstanding were spent on **nuclear fusion**, we'd possibly have a clean, safe, and limitless energy source much sooner.

What do you think?

Source



....

The Liberty Beacon Project is now expanding at a near exponential rate, and for this we are grateful and excited! But we must also be practical. For 7 years we have not asked for any donations, and have built this project with our own funds as we grew. We are now experiencing ever increasing growing pains due to the large number of websites and projects we represent. So we have just installed donation buttons on our websites and ask that you consider this when you visit them. Nothing is too small. We thank you for all your support and your considerations ... (TLB)

....

***Comment Policy:** As a privately owned web site, we reserve the right to remove comments that contain spam, advertising, vulgarity, threats of violence, racism, or personal/abusive attacks on other users. This also applies to trolling, the use of more than one alias, or just intentional mischief. Enforcement of this policy is at the discretion of this websites administrators. Repeat offenders may be blocked or permanently banned without prior warning.*

....

***Disclaimer:** TLB websites contain copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, health, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.*

....

Disclaimer: The information and opinions shared are for informational purposes only including, but not limited to, text, graphics, images and other material are not intended as medical advice or instruction. Nothing mentioned is intended to be a substitute for professional medical advice, diagnosis or treatment.

Share this:



- ALL-ELECTRIC CAR MANDATE
- FOSSIL FUEL CAR BAN
- GAS-CAR BAN
- IGOR CHUDOV
- INTERNAL COMBUSTION CARS
- NUCLEAR FUSION

PREVIOUS ARTICLE

NEXT ARTICLE

RELATED ARTICLES

Vaccinated Blood is Contaminated with COVID Vaccine mRNA, Study Finds

Karl Lauterbach Admits "Mistakes" as Excess Mortality in Germany is 36% above Normal

Nuclear Fusion Is Almost Here, So Why The Fuss About Climate Change?

1 COMMENT ON EUROPE ABANDONS ALL-ELECTRIC CAR MANDATE



Roger Carter

MARCH 29, 2023 AT 5:07 AM

CO2 does not cause Global warming. That's a lie just like covid virus and vaccines. The truth as can be seen in Al Gore's own chart is the other way round i.e. Global Warming causes CO2 to increase. This increase in CO2 follows (lags) the natural Global Warming by approximately 800 years. This has been happening for millions of years. Zoom in on his chart and you'll see it for yourself.

Leave a Reply

Your email address will not be published.

Comment

Name *

Email *

Website

POST COMMENT

TLB RECOMMENDED LINKS

ARTICLE ARCHIVES

The Liberty Beacon
The Falling Darkness
UK Reloaded

Select Month